

**REMARKS/ARGUMENT**

The Official Action has been carefully considered and the Examiner's comments are duly noted.

This is in response to the new Restriction Requirement.

Applicant elects to prosecute claims 1 to 9, 12 to 15, 19, 20, and newly added claim 21, which will fall into Group I, drawn to a display system for generating a visible pattern, classified in class 359, subclass 212. It should also be noted that claim 1 has been amended to call for means for generating a laser beam. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that the Group II claims 10, 11, and 16 to 18 drawn to a laser beam apparatus, classified in class 359, subclass 449, are clearly examinable together with the Group I claims.

The Examiner pointed out that the combination, as claimed, does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the means for generating a laser beam. It is respectfully submitted that both claim 1 and claim 10 now include means for generating a laser beam.


Therefore, while Applicant has elected Group I, Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's requirement for the reasons noted above.

Early and favorable reconsideration of this Applicant is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

LACKENBACH SIEGEL, LLP  
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By:

  
J. Harold Nissen  
Reg. No. 17283  
Telephone No.: 914-723-4300  
Fax No.: 914-723-4301